## Space Geodesy Core Sites and Role of GNSS (Progress on the Global Space Geodesy Network)

Michael R. Pearlman<sup>1</sup>, Alexander Ipatov<sup>2</sup>, James Long<sup>3</sup>, Chopo Ma<sup>3</sup>, Stephen Merkowitz<sup>3</sup>, Ruth Neilan<sup>4</sup>, Carey Noll<sup>3</sup>, Erricos Pavlis<sup>5</sup>, Victor Shargorodsky<sup>6</sup>, David Stowers<sup>4</sup>, Scott Wetzel<sup>7</sup>


<sup>1</sup> Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge MA, United States (mpearlman@cfa.harvard.edu, 001 617 4960121)
 <sup>2</sup> Institute of Applied Astronomy, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia,
 <sup>3</sup> NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt MD, United States,
 <sup>4</sup> Jet Propulsion Laboratory/Caltech, Pasadena CA, United States,
 <sup>5</sup> University of Maryland, Baltimore MD, United States
 <sup>6</sup> OJC"RPC"PSI", Moscow, Russia
 <sup>7</sup> Honeywell Technical Solutions, Inc, Lanham MD, United States




IAG 20<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Workshop Pasadena CA June 23 – 27, 2014



- Established by the IAG to integrate the three fundamental areas of geodesy (Earth's shape, gravity field, and rotation), to monitor geodetic parameters and their temporal variations in a global reference frame with a target relative accuracy of 10E-9 or better (See GGOS 2020)
- Provide products & services with the geodetic accuracy necessary to address important geophysical questions and societal needs, and to provide the robustness and continuity of service which will be required of this system in order to meet future needs and make intelligent decisions
- Constituted mainly from the Services (ILRS, IVS, IGS, IDS, IERS, IGFS, etc.)
- Main focus at the moment is the International Terrestrial Reference Frame, but we expect other data products to emerge








## A SCOS

## Practical applications of Space Geodesy US National Research Council Study

- Geodesy is the science of the Earth's shape, gravity and rotation, including their evolution in time.
- Techniques used to observe the geodetic properties of the Earth provide the basis for the International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF)
- The ITRF is the foundation for virtually all airborne, space-based, and ground-based Earth observations, and is fundamentally important for interplanetary spacecraft tracking and navigation.



June 23 - 27, 2014

Pasadena, CA




#### **GGOS** Reference Frame Requirement

- Basis upon which we measure change over space, time, and evolving technology
- Most stringent requirement from sea level rise:
  - "accuracy of 1 mm, and stability at 0.1 mm/yr"
  - This is a factor 10-20 beyond current capability
- Accessibility: 24 hours/day; worldwide

Users anywhere on the Earth can position their measurements in the reference frame

- Space Segment:
  - LAGEOS, LARES, GNSS, DORIS, Quasars to define the reference frame
- Ground Segment (Core Sites):
  - Global distributed network of "modern technology", co-located SLR, VLBI, GNSS,
     DORIS stations locally tied together with accurate site ties
  - Dense network of GNSS ground stations to distribute the reference frame globally to the users





#### Simulation Studies to Scope the Network


(impact on the Reference Frame)
(Erricos Pavlis)

#### Simulation studies show:

 ~32 globally distributed, well positioned, new technology, co-location sites will be required to define and maintain the reference frame;

 ~16 of these co-location stations must track GNSS satellites with SLR to calibrate the GNSS orbits which are used to distribute the reference


frame.



- Major Challenge
- Will require time, significant resources, and strong international participation




#### **Activity underway on VGOS**





## **ILRS: Observation Network**






#### Two Recent Initiatives

- Expansion of the Russian Network to Support:
  - GLONASS accuracy and time transfer
  - GGOS
- NASA Space Geodesy Project to support the NASA role in International Space Geodesy and GGOS



#### **Deployment of Russian SLR Network outside Russia**



Seeking installation of 6 SLR stations in the following possible places:
Brasilia (Brazil), San Juan (Argentina), Haretbeesthoek (South Africa), Haifa (Israel), Hangzhou (China), Timor (Indonesia), Tahiti (French Polynesia), Maui (USA), Greenbelt (USA), Havana (Cuba)



## Proposal for placement of GLONASS receivers at ILRS stations and GLONASS SLR at IVS and IDS stations



#### Features:

- 1.Priority placement of GLONASS receivers collocation nodes of ILRS, VLBI, DORIS
- 2.Joint placement of GLONASS receivers and GLONASS SLR at the stations of IVS and IDS networks.





Placement of 40-50 GNSSMS and 6 SLR in ILRS, IVS and IDS networks



#### NASA's Space Geodesy Project

- Demonstration of prototype next-generation core site:
  - NGSLR demonstrated required performance and is tracking current ILRS satellites including daylight ranging to GNSS.
  - Prototype VLBI2010 system demonstrated required performance and successfully performed several end-to-end geodetic sessions.
- Implementation (with USNO) of new VGOS station in Hawaii underway.
- Completing Western CONUS site selection and preparing for implementation of new core site.
- Upgrade underway to the NASA GNSS network to support new constellations (Galileo, GLONASS, Beidou) in addition to GPS.
- Ongoing discussions and planning with our international partners for the deployment of the new NASA network



NGSLR & MOBLAS-7 simultaneously ranging at the Goddard Geophysical and Astronomical Observatory (GGAO)



Next Generation Satellite Laser Ranging (NGSLR)



Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI)




Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS)



Doppler Orbitography and Radiopositioning Integrated by Satellite (DORIS)



## **Current and Candidate Core Sites**



Given programmatic and technical constraints, the NASA SGP core sites (those either wholly owned or supported by NASA) required to cover gaps in the global site coverage are shown here (labeled "Proposed Core Sites")



#### Sejong Site (South Korea)

- VLBI, GNSS and Gravimeter : NGII (National Geographic Information Institute)
  - In testing
- SLR: KASI (Korea Astronomy & Space Science Institute)
  - Operational at KASI HQ in Daejeon,
  - To be relocated to Sejong site in late 2014



- 40cm Rx and 10cm Tx telescope
- 2kHz repetition rate
- 2.5mJ/pulse and 50ps pulse width
- Aircraft detection using a radar



- 22m Cassegrain antenna
- Rx frequency: 2, 8, 22 and 43GHz
- Aperture efficiency : ~60%
- GNSS receiver and Gravimeter



### Ny Alesund Core Site

(From Ina Elsrud, PM)

- Planned to be a core station including VLBI, SLR, GNSS, DORIS, absolute gravity- and super conducting gravity meter
- Extreme interest because of the very high latitude (~80 Deg)
- Site infrastructure work is now underway
- 2018 two VGOS telescopes, GNSS, DORIS and gravimeters
- 2020 SLR
- 2021 Closeout of the legacy VLBI after 3 year parallel run






IAG 20th Anniversary Workshop Pasadena, CA



### Map of Sites in Japan Response to GGOS CfP





#### Planned SLR Installations in India

(Construction underway)





## Joint GGOS/ILRS Study Group LARGE: LAser Ranging to GNSS s/c Experiment Expanded SLR Tracking of GNSS Satellites

#### Background:

- Large expansion in GNSS satellites with retroreflectors over the next 8 10 years;
- Strong need for SLR coverage for ITRF and calibration/co-location
- GGOS/ILRS Study group has been formed, first meeting held on 4/28;

#### Objectives of this Study Group (SG) are:

- Define an operational GNSS tracking strategy for the ILRS that addresses all of requirements;
- Tests its realization with a tracking campaign Pilot Project;
- Clarify outstanding ILRS and IGS issues with the GNSS satellites and ground stations.


#### Action to date:

- Tracking tests underway to evaluate network capability
- Simulations being organized to examine value of different options/tradeoffs
- Memorandum between GGOS and RAS/ROSCOSMOS
  - Increase expand SLR tracking on GNSS and for GGOS objectives
  - Advocate for deployment of new systems



#### Possible Core Site Layout at McDonald


- Ground survey where sufficient
- GNSS geodetic connection among the other techniques both locally and globally (1-2 GNSS receivers at each instrument)
- SGSLR calibration targets.





#### Hawaii – KPGO to Haleakala

(Distance Between Sites is Approximately 380 km)



June 23 - 27, 2014




# The Geodetic Reference Antenna in Space (GRASP): A Mission to Enhance the Terrestrial Reference Frame

Yoaz Bar-Sever<sup>1</sup>, R. Steven Nerem<sup>2</sup>, and the GRASP Team


<sup>1</sup> Jet Propulsion Laboratory

<sup>2</sup> University of Colorado, Boulder





### Responses to the GGOS CfP





## Sample Page from the Site Model

(Network Projection)

			- [				-						/				/	//	//	mi	//	//	and sent	/ .s. /
												er Agency Other 6	E.QUÍDIT	ent stand sta	Se of But	oduction & a.	done Co	set fle closur	rest/	ata kate Condition	ons closure	e druen to the total transfer to the transfer transfer to the total transfer transfe	A. Produkte	
				5 Ye	ar Pro	oject	ed :					7artn	athe	× /	xound 1	seo	oduc o.S	Soud /	re Tie 2015	100	7/20/2	1 1 S	ned Caulpin	
Region/Class	Sites	Current Confi	Gr.	6 1	nfigur	D	Gr		nfigu V S			<b>, ,</b>		1	~		SU	2	7 47	VLI	31	9.		Issues/Comments
rth America					, -	,					, ,				,			-	_					
VLBI	Brewster	LL		L	-			L	L			NRAO		3				3	6	1	1 3			VLBA
VLBI	Hancock	L	Н	-	-		1	+	L			NRAO						2	6	1	1		1	VLBA
VLBI	Kitt Peak	L	П	1	-		T	1	L		П	NRAO						3	6	1	1			VLBA
VLBI	Los Alamos	L		١	-				L			NRAO						3	6	1	1			VLBA
	Mauna Kea	L L		LL	-			L	L			NRAO		2				3	6	- }				VLBA
	North Liberty	L L		L I			_	L				NRAO		3				2	6	- 1	1 7			VLBA
	Pie Town	LL		LI				L			Ш	NRAO		1				2	6		1 4			VLBA VLBA
торе	St. Croix	LL		LI				-	-			NRAO						2 3	6	1	1 3			VLDA
	Wettzell, Germany	N L L	AS	1 N	ı N		AS	N I	N N			BKG		3	3	3	2	2 9	133	3	1 4,10	3	0 0 0 0 0	Historic Site
	Grasse, France	L L		N	L		AS	N	L			GRGS		3	3	3	2	2 2,7		and the same		3		Historic Site
	Effelsberg, Germany	L	Ц	1					L			MPIR						1	2	- 1	1			
	Matera, Italy	N L L		N I				- 1	N N		Α	ASI		3		3	2	2 6	52	- 1	0 10	3		Historic Site
	Yebes, Spain Madrid, Spain	L L		N I				N	N N	<u> </u>	AS	IGN NASA		2	-			2	35 8	3	1 7	3		
	Canary Islands			N N				N I	- 1			IGN						2		-	1	S		very cloudy Nov - June, other time can be very cleardepending on exact local
VLBI Plan	Azores		Н	N I	4		+	N I	N			DRCTC		3				3		-	1	s		Mostly cloudy at the Terciera Island location. Need better location identifiaction
SLR (Core Plan)	Herstmonceux, GB	N L	А	N	N		А	N I	N N		А	NERC		3	3	3	3	2 4		-		3		Historic Site
SLR	Graz, Austria	N N		N	N			N	N			OEAW		3	3	3	3	2 6		-		3		Historic Site
	Zimmerwald, Switzerl	N N		N	N			N	N			AIUB		3	3	3	3	3 2,6		-		3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Historic Site
	Potsdam, Germany	L L		N	L			N	L			GFZ		3		2	2	2 7,2		The second second		3		Historic Site
	San Fernando, Spain Borowiec, Poland	L L	1	N N	L			N N	L		$\perp$	ROA SRC/PAS		3		2	2	3 21 2 3		-		3	6 6 8 8	Historic Site Historic Site
	Metsahovi, Finland				ı N				N N		AS	FGI		3					2 10	3	1	3		
	Ny Alesund, Norway	N L	1	N	N			- 1	N N			NMA		3				2	133	- 1	1	3		
VLBI	Medicina, Italy	L L	S	N I	-		s	N I	N		Н	IRA		2	3			2	24	3	0 4	3		
VLBI	Noto, Italy	LL	Н	N I	-		$\dashv$	N I	N			IRA		3				2	12	3	7	2		
/LBI	Onsala, Sweden	L L	AS	N I	4		SA	N I	N		AS	oso		3	3			2	40	3	1 12	3		Historic Site
/LBI	Simeiz, Ukraine	L L L		N I	. L			N	L L			CRAO		3	3	2	1	2	12	1	1 8	3	b b c	
Idle East SLR (Core Plan)	Riyadh, Saudi Arabia	L L	1	N	N	1 1	_	N	- N		1	KACST	1	3	1	1	1	3		- 1		2	1	T



## Reality

#### Recognizing that:

- Many sites will not be at ideal locations nor have ideal conditions;
- Some new technology stations are being deployed, but not co-located;
- Core site deployment will occur over many years;
- We will have a mix of new and legacy technologies for many years;

#### As a result:

- Co-location sites (non-core sites) will continue to play a vital role in our data products;
- Quality of our output will be the product of network Core Sites, Colocation sites, mix of technologies, adherence to proper operational and engineering procedures, and making best use of the data once it leaves the field;

But – many groups are taking the initiative to join, build and upgrade