



The Geodetic Networks & Space Geodesy Applications

F. G Lemoine¹, S. B Luthcke¹, D.D Rowlands¹, N. P. Zelensky^{2,1}, D. S. Chinn^{2,1}, B. D. Beckley^{2,1} ¹ NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, U.S.A. ²SGT-Inc., Greenbelt, MD, U.S.A.







From the launch of the first spaceborne altimeters, Precision Orbit Determination (POD) has been driven by the science goals of the geodetic altimeter missions...











CRYOSAT-2, 2010



The accurate knowledge of the spacecraft ephemeris in an accurate common reference frame is essential to the successful science derived from radar altimetry, particularly for global circulation and MSL studies...



Meeting mission POD accuracy requirements has depended on advances in each of the following areas

- 1) Accurately modelling the forces acting on the satellite... Force Modelling
- 2) Accuracy and consistency of the reference frame as realized through the ground and space based tracking network ... <u>Reference Frame</u>
- 3) Observing the satellite motion with high temporal sampling and accuracy ... <u>Tracking Technology</u> and <u>Measurement Modelling.</u>







Errors in Models of the Earth's Gravity Field were the largest source of orbit error for altimeter missions ... Until the launch of TOPEX/Poseidon



Model	Radial Calibration (cm)	SLR rms fit (cm)
GEM-L2: 1982	65.4	105.9
GEM-T1: 1988	25.0	31.4
GEM-T2: 1990	10.2	17.8
JGM-1S: 1991	6.0	7.7
JGM-2S: 1992	2.9	4.0
JGM-2: 1992	2.2	3.8
JGM-3: 1995	0.9	3.2
EGM-96 1997	0.8	2.8

The latest gravity models (e.g. GGM03S, EIGEN-GL04S) derived from GRACE data eliminate static gravity error on the TP orbit and allow us to model in detail the temporal gravity variations



Radiation Pressure Modelling is the largest source of orbit error after gravity model error And remains a challenge

Radiative Fluxes



• (Marshall & Luthcke. 1994)





Impact of the Terrestrial Reference Frame on Mean Sea Level Determination



Regional **TOPEX** (1993-2002) Sea Surface Height Trend differences from direct impact of the ITRF2005 (GGM02C) minus CSR95 (JGM3) orbit differences. (from Beckley et al., 2007)







Space Geodesy Applications September 26, 2011 International DORIS Service



DORIS Station Examples









Rothera,

Antarctica • ROTA 1993-2005 ^a ROTB 2005-2007 • ROUB 2007-present Thule, Greenland • THUB 2002-present

Arequipa, Peru • AREA 1988-2001 • AREB 2001-2006 • ARFB 2006-present



Space Geodesy Applications September 26, 2011 International DORIS Service



GPS Tracking System for OSTM

International GNSS Service Formerly the International GPS Service

GPS Satellite Constellation







Examples: Ground Receivers







TOPEX/POSEIDON (1992)...A giant leap forward in orbit accuracy 2.5 cm orbit accuracy achieved early in the mission (c.f. Marshall et al., 1995)



SIGNIFICANT ADVANCEMENTS WERE DUE TO:

Force modelling improvements:

- Gravity: JGM-2 (Nerem et al. 1993) JGM-3 (Tapley et al. 1994)
- Tide model (Ray et al. 1994)
- Improvements in the reduction of surface forces errors:
 - Box-wing model (Marshall and Luthcke, 1994)
 - Reduced dynamic solution from GPS (Bertiger et al., 1994)

Advanced tracking technology: SLR, DORIS, GPS, (TDRSS)

- GPS and DORIS near-continuous orbit observability is a significant advancement
- Ability to characterize orbit error through the comparison of high accuracy orbits determined from independent data (SLR/DORIS vs. GPS)

Tracking network, reference frame and measurement modeling improvements

<u>Diverse and cooperative POD Team</u>: NASA GSFC, CNES, JPL, UT/CSR, CU, ... with contributions by many others e.g.. The Ohio State University.

September 26, 2011

ASA	Jason-1 (2001); Jason-2 (2008)
	The 1-cm orbit
• 1 H et	<i>cm radial orbit accuracy demonstrated (Luthcke et al. 2003 aines et al. 2004, Choi et al., 2004; Lemoine et al., 2010; Cerr t al., 2010; Bertiger et al., 2010).</i>
• <u>A</u> Ji	<u>pplied Upgraded tracking technology</u> : GPS, SLR, DORIS (Especially PL GPS BlackJack codeless receiver).
• <u>In</u> (e	<u>nproved tracking network positions and measurement modelling</u> a.g. GPS antenna phase center modeling)
• <u>In</u> G	<u>nproved application of the reduced dynamic solutions</u> in GPS PS+SLR and even SLR+DORIS based solutions
• TI	he challenge is to assess and characterize the remaining orbit errors
• N	ecessary to exploit all available tracking data in various combination plutions



Intercomparison of Independent Orbits Produced by SLR/DORIS & GPS (Reduced Dynamic) allows insights into model and geodetic technique error And helps to validate improvements

A priori (Schwiderski) Tide model produced orbit error at the M2 alias period (~60 days) for T/P

Tide model improvement using TOPEX altimeter data







Jason GPS Reduced Dynamic POD Achieved the 1-cm radial orbit accuracy goal...



17

Independent high elevation SLR performance deomnstrated the 1 cm radial orbit accuracy (Luthcke et al. 2003).

Other error sources are included beyond radial orbit error.





FIGURE 3 GPS RD (a) high elevation independent SLR fit and (b) radial orbit overlap performance. (a) Measurement biases estimated from high elevation pass SLR residuals offer the best single metric to gauge radial orbit accuracy. The RMS of the estimated biases indicates orbit error does not exceed 1.3 cm. The actual radial error is less because the statistic contains other error sources as well. SLR data above 60 degrees are selected for the high elevation test. (b) Histogram of the radial orbit overlap SP difference RMS for each 6 PD overlapping time period between GPS RD 30 -hr. arcs from cycle 8 -24. The result indicates the GPS reduced dynamic solutions are consistent to 4 mm.

(a) GPS RD Solution High Elevation Independent SLR Fit







Synopsis of Recent Improvements ... (2)

Improved gravity modelling using products from the GRACE mission

TP Mean Radial Diff.(Sep.92-Aug.02); itrf2005.only-gdr



Model Time-Variable Gravity due to the Atmosphere & Land Hydrology

> Space Geoc Septem

Geographically correlated error removed (GGM02C vs. JGM3)





Altimeter Satellite POD Summary







Continued Challenges

Radiation Pressure Modelling:

e.g., SLR/DORIS (C_R=1) – JPL GPS6b orbits, 120-day amplitude for Jason-1



- 1. Providing a consistent orbit time series for altimeter data over 16+ years, spanning three missions, and four altimeters to better resolve interdecadal signals & MSL change.
- 2. Radiation Modelling Improvements.
- 3. Reference Frame Stability.
- 4. Measurement model improvements for SLR, GPS & DORIS.
- 5. Geocenter.
- 6. Deployment of Next Generation Geodetic Stations (SLR, GPS).









Altimeter Satellite Status & Future Missions



JASON-1 (CNES, NASA), 2002 JASON-2 (NASA, CNES), 2008 CRYOSAT-2 (ESA), April 2010 ENVISAT (ESA), 2002 HY2A (CNSA), (Launched August 2011; 7	1336 km, 66° 1336 km, 66° 717 km, 92° ~800 km, 98.5° 963 km, 99.3° Then HY2B, HY2C	D2G + SLR +GPS DGXX+SLR+GPS DGXX+SLR D2G +SLR DGXX+SLR+GPS)		
SARAL/ALTIKA (ISRO/CNES) (Launch: 2012)	880 km, 98.5°	DGXX+SLR		
SENTINAL 3A (GMES) (Launch: April 2013)	814 km, 98.6°	DGXX+SLR+GPS		
JASON-3	1336 km, 66°	DGXX+SLR+ GPS		
(NOAA/EUMETSAT/CNES/NASA)(2013; Follow-on to TOPEX, Jason-1, Jason-2)				
ICESAT-2 (NASA, Laser altimeter) (Launch ~2015)	~600 km, 94°	GPS+(SLR)		
SWOT (CNES, NASA)	970 km, 78°	DGXX+SLR+GPS		
(Surface Water Ocean Topography; Launch 2018-2020)				
Space Geodesy Applications				

September 26, 2011

26